

Proposition 6.1 of Wonham's Linear Multivariable Control

Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t), \\ \dot{y}(t) &= Cx(t), \\ \dot{z}(t) &= Dx(t),\end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 0$.

Let \mathcal{N} be an A -invariant subspace of the state space \mathcal{X} and

$$\mathfrak{B} := \{\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V} \in \mathfrak{J}(A, B; \text{Ker } D), A(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{V}\},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{J}(A, B; \text{Ker } D) := \{\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V} \in \mathfrak{J}(A, B; \mathcal{X}), \mathcal{V} \subset \text{Ker } D\},$$

and $\mathfrak{J}(A, B; \mathcal{X})$ is the class of (A, B) -invariant subspaces of the state space.

Proposition 6.1 Let

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \bigcap_{i=1}^n A^{-i+1}(\mathcal{N} \cap \text{Ker } D).$$

Then each subspace \mathcal{V}^M is of the form

$$\mathcal{V}^M = \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1,$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \sup \{\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W} \cap A^{-1}(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V})\}$$

and \mathcal{W} is a suitable complement of $\mathcal{N} \cap \text{Ker } D$ in the kernel of D .

Proof

Let \mathcal{V}^M be a maximal element of \mathfrak{B} and \mathcal{V}_1^M and \mathcal{W}_1 be such that

$$\mathcal{V}^M = \mathcal{V}^M \cap \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{V}_1^M \tag{1}$$

$$\text{Ker } D = \text{Ker } D \cap \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{V}_1^M \oplus \mathcal{W}_1 \tag{2}$$

and let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}_1^M \oplus \mathcal{W}_1$. By assumption, $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{N} \cap \text{Ker } D$ (with $i = 1$), and is A -invariant. As a result of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem,

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \sup \{\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{N} \cap \text{Ker } D, A\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Claim 1 $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}^M \cap \mathcal{N}$.

Proof of Claim 1: As $\mathcal{V}_0 \cap \mathcal{N}$ and is the maximal element of \mathfrak{B} , we can conclude that the subspace

$$(\mathcal{V}^M + \mathcal{V}_0) \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{V}^M \cap \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{V}_0$$

is A -invariant, and hence that $\mathcal{V}^M + \mathcal{V}_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$. But \mathcal{V}^M being maximal, it contains \mathcal{V}_0 and, \mathcal{V}_0 being contained in \mathcal{N} we have that

$$\mathcal{V}^M \cap \mathcal{N} \supset \mathcal{V}_0.$$

Also, since $\mathcal{V}^M \cap \mathcal{N}$ is an element of the set whose maximal element is \mathcal{V}_0 , $\mathcal{V}^M \cap \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{V}_0$, so $\mathcal{V}^M \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{V}_0$. \square

Claim 2 $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_1^M$.

Proof of Claim 2: By definition, $\mathcal{V}_1^M \subset \mathcal{V}^M$, and \mathcal{V}^M is (A, B) -invariant so

$$A\mathcal{V}_1^M \subset A\mathcal{V}^M \subset \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{B}.$$

Also by definition of \mathcal{V}_1^M ,

$$\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{V}^M \cap \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{V}_1^M + \mathcal{B}.$$

Then using the result of Claim 1,

$$\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_1^M + \mathcal{B},$$

so $\mathcal{V}_1^M \subset \mathcal{V}_1$.

Also by definition of \mathcal{V}_1^M , $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{W}$ and as $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{N} = 0$, $\mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{N} = 0$. Then

$$(\mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1) \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{V}_0,$$

which is A -invariant and contained in the kernel of D so

$$A(\mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1) \subset \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{B}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1 \in \mathfrak{B}$ and since $\mathcal{V}_1^M \subset \mathcal{V}_1$ we have that $\mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1 \supset \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1^M$. The quantity on the right hand side of this containment is just \mathcal{V}^M , which is maximal, so $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_1^M$. \square

This result then proves the proposition. \square